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I. Context: Chemicals Strategy for Sustainability

- See our REACH 30/30 presentation on CSS of August 12, 2020
- PFAS restriction: first battle-field for ‘essential uses’ and ‘grouping’ concepts
Current Status of CSS

♦ CARACAL meeting of 3–4 March 2021:
   ♦ Roadplan for REACH revision
   ♦ Roadplan for CLP revision
Roadplan for REACH Revision

♦ Revisions as announced in the October 2020 communication but much more!

♦ Example: extend the use of the generic approach to risk management (Article 68(2) REACH restrictions, packaging restrictions etc.) to:
  ◦ ED, PBT/vPvB
  ◦ Imunotoxicants, neurotoxicants, respiratory sensitizers, STOTs (later)
  ◦ Extend to professional uses
  ◦ Exempt essential uses
Timing of REACH Revision

- Impact assessment roadmap for 4 weeks stakeholder consultation: March – April 2021
- Supporting actions and studies (Q1 2021 to Q1 2022)
- Impact assessment: Autumn 2021 – Early Autumn 2022
- Draft proposal for revision of REACH – 2022
- Commission adoption of proposal – end 2022
Roadplan for CLP Revision

♦ Proposed revisions include changes in:
  ◊ HCL
  ◊ New hazard classes (ED, PMT, new hazard classes for PBT)
  ◊ New criteria for immunotoxicity and neurotoxicity
  ◊ Other (clarifications [e.g., on bridging principles], labeling exemptions etc.)

♦ Indicative timing: draft CLP amendment September 2021; adoption end 2021
PFAS One of the Priorities of the CSS

- The following action with respect to PFAS:
  - REACH restriction of all PFAS as a group in fire-fighting foams as well as in other uses, allowing their use only where they are essential for society
  - Address PFAS with a group approach, under relevant legislation on water, sustainable products, food, industrial emissions, and waste
  - Address PFAS concerns on a global scale through the relevant international fora and in bilateral policy dialogues with third countries
  - Provide financial support under research and innovation programs
II. REACH restriction of PFAS under preparation
EU REACH Restrictions

- EU Regulation 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation, and Restriction of Chemicals (‘REACH’)
- Substances subject to restriction: Annex XVII REACH
- Updated list: https://echa.europa.eu/nl/substances-restricted-under-reach
Status of PFAS Restriction Proposal, Timing

- Driving MS: Germany, The Netherlands, Denmark, Sweden, and Norway
- Not yet officially announced in ECHA Registry of Intentions
- Expected timing:
  - Announcement of restriction intention to ECHA: during the first half 2021
  - Publication of actual proposal expected first half 2022
  - The final restriction should be adopted by the European Commission in 2025
Scope

- PFAS on their own, in mixtures and in articles above a specific threshold (example of PFOA-related substances: ≤ 1 mg/kg)
- PFAS to be banned for all ‘non-essential societal uses’
  - The concept of ‘non-essential uses’ is currently being debated at the EU level; the criteria should be developed mid-2021
Developments on ‘Essential Uses’ Concept

- March CARACAL document: ‘Summary of and response to comments to CA/61/2020’ provides more insight:
  - Concept goes beyond REACH
  - Concept of essentiality in the Montreal Protocol as a starting point to initiate discussions
  - MP takes into account four elements: criticality, alternatives, use (minimise emission), and availability (not relevant to REACH)
  - Client Earth: The Montreal Protocol, the work of Cousins et al. and many EU regulations offer indications of the sectors that are non-essential: luxury, convenience, leisure, cosmetics, toys, or decorative products
Proposed Client Earth’s Criteria:

♦ Is the use relevant for safety or health?
♦ Are material, energy, performance efficiency affected?
♦ Is the product without the substance accessible for the general public or for innovation?
♦ Whether or not the use significantly extends the lifetime of a product, improves durability, less consumption of raw materials, less consumption of energy
♦ Whether the use creates hazardous waste after a short lifetime
♦ Inspiration can come from the EU taxonomy regulation and the criteria for sustainable activities established therein
PFAS Covered by REACH Restriction

♦ ECHA presentation of October 29, 2020 entitled ‘Restriction of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) under REACH’:
https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/31366392/pfas_webinar_slides_en.pdf/361234ba-5b0c-d5d0-df0d-4145c3e08c73

◊ Substances that contain at least one aliphatic -CF2- or -CF3 element
◊ Includes precursors that can be transformed/ degraded to PFAS

♦ This covers many substances of various structures:
◊ PFAAs, PFSAs, PFAEs
◊ Side-chain and backbone fluorinated polymers
◊ Hydrofluorocarbons
◊ Side-chain fluorinated aromatics etc. ...
Public Consultations

1st public consultation:
♦ After publication of the proposal (i.e., expected first half 2022)
♦ Input will be used for the preparation of draft ECHA Risk Assessment Committee (RAC) and Committee for Socio-Economic Analysis (SEAC) opinions
♦ Duration: six months public consultation: open to everybody

2nd public consultation:
♦ After draft SEAC opinion
♦ Focused on economic aspects and on derogations
♦ Duration two months

Potential for advocacy with the European Commission at the final stage (example of Fluorocouncil and C9-C14 PFCAs)
Public Consultations: Why to Participate

- Request longer sell-off period, permanent derogation (essential use), spare parts derogation
- Derogation from the scope (some PFAS off the scope: sufficient data available that there is no risk)
- Request later date of applicability (default 12-24 months, may be up to 36 months or more if justified)
- Request higher permitted concentration limits

**Arguments:**

- Socio-economic analysis (e.g., low emissions/volumes vs. impact on consumers and economy (high costs of substitution not an argument)
- Alternatives not available (yet) - R&D efforts should be demonstrated
- Confidentiality of comments granted if requested (but data available to ECHA)
- If submitted via a law firm/consultant, company name confidential also towards ECHA
Final Thoughts

♦ Not an easy task for EU authorities
♦ Questionable from the legal principles perspective (proportionality, subsidiarity, legal certainty, abuse of power, freedom to conduct business etc.)
♦ Long process: there will be numerous opportunities to participate in public consultations/ad hoc advocacy activities
♦ Let your voice be heard
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