Expert Testimony in the Silica Cases: The Fallacy of Scientific Objectivity - Some Observations

In a 2000 decision, a federal judge in Texas uncovered what appeared to be a large number of claims for compensation based on inadequate medical diagnosis of silicosis. The article describes the judge’s findings and the use of courtroom procedures and rules to evaluate the reliability of the preferred scientific evidence.
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