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Today’s Topics

- Federal government shutdown impact
- New chemicals – continued evolution of premanufacture review and risk management practices
- Inventory “reset” – effective date and implications
- Potential Chemical Data Reporting (CDR) rule amendments
- Next batches of risk evaluations and risk management rules for existing chemicals
- Selection and prioritization of 40 existing chemicals for risk evaluation
- Plan for retrospective review of certain existing CBI claims
- EPA PFAS Management plan, proposed SNUR for PFAS chemicals
- Upcoming federal court decisions on TSCA framework rules
Central Themes/Issues for 2019

- Section 5 PMN/SNUR pipeline/delay and process evolution
- Inventory reset implementation
- CDR upgrade
- Agency ability/inability to meet LCSA and industry deadlines
- Section 6 prioritization and risk evaluation continuation
- Continued CBI assertion/handling issues
- NGO litigation
Principal Sections of TSCA

- Section 3 – Definitions
- Section 4 – Test rules/orders
- Section 5 – Premanufacture Notification
- Section 6 – Unreasonable Risk Regulation
- Section 8 – Recordkeeping and Reporting
- Section 12 – Exports
- Section 13 – Imports
- Section 14 – Confidentiality
- Sections 15 and 16 – Penalties
- Section 18 – Preemption
2018–19 Partial Government Shutdown

- Commenced midnight 12/21/2018 for all non-essential Agency functions
  - we are 18 days in…
- EPA has contingency plan (12/31/2018) but no TSCA-specific plan
  - Of 981 OCSPP personell, only 24 are excepted/exempted
- Will impact each TSCA activity/section differently
- Could have significant impact (and spur litigation) for required §6 actions
  - Section 5 – in, *e.g.*, 2013 EPA used its §5(c) review period extension authority for PMNs, LVEs, SNUNs, MCANs, etc.
    - Duration of extension = length of shutdown
    - If §5 notice submitted during shutdown, RP did not begin until operations resumed
    - FR notice issued after operations resumed
Section 5 - LCSA

**“A” risk determination**

*Presents...*

**“B” risk determination**

- Insufficient info, and/or
- May present

**“C” risk determination**

*Not likely to present*

**Must act under §5(f):** Shall -
- Issue proposed §6(a) rule, or
- Issue *proposed* 5(f) order; or
- Apply for an injunction

**Must act as required by §5(e):** May
- Shall issue §5(e) order *to the extent necessary to protect against unreasonable risk*; or if no order
- Must apply for an injunction

- Submitter may begin manufacture or import
- Does not have to wait full 90 days
New Section 5 PMN/SNUR Process Status

▪ Has/will evolve in terms of (1) data needed and (2) regulatory approach

  • Data Required
    – Complete halt in approvals → upfront 90-day inhalation study → lung toxicity project (tiered testing, particle size and biosolubility testing “offramps”)

  • Approach
    – Current approach = protracted “non-order SNUR” unless toxicity testing required, in which case traditional 5(e) order/SNUR to be used
New Section 5 PMN/SNUR Process Status (cont.)

▪ 2019 Outlook
  • Improved test method clarity?
  • Litigation over non-order SNURs?
  • Finalization(?) of:
    – 07/2016 General SNUR provision amendments
      • HAZCOM, water release, etc.
    – 10/2014 NPE / ethoxylates SNUR
    – 01/2015 TDI SNUR
    – 05/2015 PFAS SNUR
    – 06/2018 Asbestos SNUR
Section 8(b) Inventory “Reset” Rule

- August 11, 2017: EPA publishes final rule to “reset” TSCA Inventory
  - 82 Fed. Reg. 37,520
- Companies required to report substances manufactured/imported for non-exempt purposes in 10-year period (“lookback period” (LBP))
  - LBP = June 21, 2006 to June 21, 2016
  - Mandatory for manufacturers and importers (2/7/18), voluntary for processors (10/5/18)
- Notice of Activity (NOA) “Form A” used
- Called “retrospective” reporting
Reset Rule (cont.)

- Based on NOA Form As, **in early 2019** EPA will publish revised Inventory subdivided into separate lists of “active” and “inactive” substances

- If and when designated “inactive,” substance cannot be manufactured, imported, or processed unless EPA notified in advance (“forward-looking reporting”) (NOA Form B)
  - Substance not formally designated “inactive” until 90 days after EPA identifies substance as such

- Total Inventory: ~86,000 substances
  - Active: ~38,000 substances
    - ~31,000 “public” substances
    - ~7,000 CBI substances

- This leaves ~48,000 substances as inactive…
When Must NOA “Form B” Be Submitted?

- Before actual, but not more than 90 days prior to anticipated date of manufacture, import, or processing of inactive substance
- Also may be submitted during 90-day period between identification and effective date for inactive designation, by person (a) currently manufacturing or processing or (b) who anticipates doing so within 90 days following submission
What If You Did Not Submit a Required Form A?

- Could submit late NOA Form A (and presumably use EPA “Audit Policy”)
  - Even if reported by one or more other companies and now “active”
  - Duty to correct?
- Submit Form B as otherwise required
  - Would not eliminate liability for failure to file Form A
What If I Handle “Inactive” Substance?

- Unlike substances that are not on the Inventory (see §15(2), processors are strictly liable for handling inactive substances once so designated

- Prudent to request “active” assurances from suppliers
  - Also may wish to seek indemnification

- Also . . . remember that polymer exemption reports are due Jan. 31
Chemical Data Reporting Rulemaking

- TSCA Section 8(a)
  - Manufacturers must report processing and use information covering previous four years
  - Covers chemicals in commerce above certain production volumes
    - 25,000 lbs or more (generally)
    - 2,500 lbs or more (substances subject to certain TSCA actions)

- Fall 2018 regulatory agenda
  - Proposed rule: Dec. 2018
  - Final rule: Oct. 2019

- Amendments intended to align with LCSA
Section 6 Risk Evaluations

- Section 6(b) draft risk evaluations for first ten chemicals
  - Pigment Violet 29 released; comments due Jan. 14th
  - Nine other draft risk evaluations to be released
  - Andrew Wheeler letter to Senator Carper:
    - Comment period for draft risk evaluations will be at least 60 days
    - Efforts will be made to stagger their release
  - Final risk evaluations must be completed by Dec. 2019

- Section 6(a) risk evaluations
  - Methylene chloride and NMP in commercial and consumer paint and coating removal
  - TCE use in vapor degreasing
  - TCE in aerosol degreasing and spot cleaning at dry cleaning facilities
Chemicals Up for Prioritization

- EPA opened dockets for TSCA Work Plan chemicals
  - Selection of chemicals based on EPA Working Approach for Prioritization
- Final designations must be made by Dec. 2019
Chemicals Up for Prioritization

- Process/Timeline
  - Fed Reg Notice
    - 90-day commenting period
    - EPA can extend up to three months
  - Screening review and proposed priority designation
  - Fed Reg Notice – proposed designation
    - 90-day commenting period
  - Fed Reg Notice – final designation
    - In no fewer than nine months or longer than one year following initiation of prioritization (publishing initial Fed Reg notice)
Prioritization Process

Industry use, hazard, and exposure data?

- Identification of Candidate Chemical
- Industry use, hazard, and exposure data
- Further Industry data

Initiate Prioritization

90-day public comment

Screening Review and Proposed Priority Designation

90-day public comment

Final Priority Designation

Statutory Deadline = Min 9 Months to Max 12 Months

Potential for Revision of Priority Designation

High-Priority Substance

Risk Evaluation

Risk evaluation begins immediately upon designation of High-Priority Substance

Low-Priority Substance
Retrospective Review of CBI Claims

- TSCA Section 8(b)
  - Must issue final rule within one year of compiling active substances on TSCA inventory
  - Plan to review CBI claims to protect specific chemical identities on confidential portion of inventory
    - EPA required to assign unique identifiers to confidential chemical identities

- Proposed rule expected Jan. 2019

- EPA to provide Congress with report on how Agency is complying with CBI provisions of LCSA within 180 days
Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS)

- **EPA’s PFAS National Leadership Summit (2018)**
  - Develop PFAS National Management Plan
  - Develop toxicity guideline (RfD) values for GenX and PFBS
  - Groundwater cleanup recommendations for PFOA and PFOS
  - Evaluate: Set maximum contaminant level (MCL) for PFOA and PFOS?
  - Propose PFOA and PFOS as “hazardous substances” (Superfund/Clean Water Act)
  - Interagency research coordination

- **ATSDR Minimum Risk levels: PFOA, PFOS, PFHxS, PFNA (2018)**

- **Issue supplemental proposed SNUR for PFAS (2019)**
  - Respond to comments on 2015 PFAS proposed SNUR (lists of ongoing uses)
  - 2015: Bar commencing uses of long-chain perfluoroalkyl carboxylates (articles too)
  - 2015: Bar perfluoroalkyl sulfonate (PFAS) in carpets
    - *Identify/disclose ongoing uses/imports (and in articles)*

- **2019: Much PFAS fed/state regulatory activity outside of TSCA**
**Key issue:** Extent of EPA’s flexibility to consider less than all foreseeable uses in risk evaluation (“conditions of use”)

### Briefing Status (U.S. Ct. App. 9th Cir)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aug. ’17</td>
<td>Petition for review filed, <em>Safer Chemicals Health Families v. EPA</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 16</td>
<td>NGO Petitioners filed their opening brief (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 24</td>
<td>Healthcare NGOs filed amicus brief in support of Petitioners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 6</td>
<td>EPA Respondents brief filed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 9</td>
<td>PETA amicus brief in support of EPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep. 19</td>
<td>Intervenors brief (Industry) filed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 9</td>
<td>NGO Petitioners reply brief filed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Oral argument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?</td>
<td>Decision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Key issue**: Whether chemical identity CBI claims are preserved for “inactive” substances (not notified as active in 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Briefing Status (U.S. Ct. App. 2nd Cir)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sep. ‘17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun. 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please join us at 1:00 PM Eastern U.S.
Wednesday, January 16, 2019
www.khlaw.com/OSHA3030

Please join us at 1:00 PM Eastern U.S.
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www.khlaw.com/TSCA-3030
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www.khlaw.com/FIFRA-3030
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