



# OSHA 30/30<sup>®</sup>

A thirty minute update on OSHA law every thirty days

with **Manesh Rath**



## RECENT COURT CHALLENGES TO OSHA INSPECTION WARRANTS

November 16, 2016

1001 G Street NW, Ste. 500 W,  
Washington, D.C.



[www.khlaw.com](http://www.khlaw.com)

**Presented by:**

**Lawrence P. Halprin**

Partner


[halprin@khlaw.com](mailto:halprin@khlaw.com)

**Manesh Rath**

Partner

[rath@khlaw.com](mailto:rath@khlaw.com)

Copyright © 2016



# OSHA 30/30<sup>®</sup>

A thirty minute update on OSHA law every thirty days

**with Manesh Rath**

**Please Don't Forget to Dial-In:**

**Conferencing Number: (800) 768-2983**

**Access Code: 434 4318**

(View the slides via webinar, and the sound via phone, above)  
An audio recording and slide deck will be provided post-webinar on  
[www.khlaw.com/osha3030](http://www.khlaw.com/osha3030)



[www.khlaw.com](http://www.khlaw.com)

Copyright © 2016

# MANESH K. RATH

Manesh Rath is a partner in Keller and Heckman's litigation and OSHA practice groups. He has been the lead amicus counsel on several cases before the U.S. Supreme Court including *Staub v. Proctor Hospital* and *Vance v. Ball State University*.

Mr. Rath is a co-author of three books in the fields of wage/hour law, labor and employment law, and OSHA law. On developing legal issues, he has been quoted or interviewed in *The Wall Street Journal*, Bloomberg, *Smart Money* magazine, *Entrepreneur* magazine, on PBS's "Nightly Business Report," WAVY-TV and C-SPAN. He was listed in *Smart CEO Magazine's* Readers' Choice List of Legal Elite and voted by his peers to *Best Lawyers In America*, 2016 and 2017.

Mr. Rath has extensive experience representing industry in OSHA rulemakings. He has successfully represented employers—including some of the largest in the country—in OSHA citations and investigations before federal OSHA in regions across the country and in state plan states.

Mr. Rath currently serves on the Board of Advisors for the National Federation of Independent Business (NFIB) Small Business Legal Center. He served on the Society For Human Resources (SHRM) Special Expertise Panel for Safety and Health law for several years.

He is the editor and co-author of the OSHA chapter of the *Employment and Labor Law Audit* (9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> Editions) and a co-author of the book *Occupational Safety and Health Law Handbook* (2001).



**Manesh Rath**  
Partner  
rath@khlaw.com  
202-434-4182

# LAWRENCE P. HALPRIN

Lawrence Halprin is a partner in Keller and Heckman's workplace safety and health, chemical regulation and litigation practice groups. He is nationally recognized for his work in workplace safety and chemical regulation. His workplace safety and health practice covers all aspects of legal advocacy, including: representing clients in OSHA and MSHA investigations and enforcement actions; providing compliance counseling and training; conducting incident investigations, compliance audits and program reviews; participation in federal (OSHA, MSHA and NIOSH) and state rulemakings and stakeholders processes; bringing and intervening in pre-enforcement challenges to final agency rules; advising on legislative reform and oversight; and participation in the development of national consensus standards under the ANSI process, and TLVs under the ACGIH process.

Mr. Halprin's engineering and financial background and extensive knowledge of OSHA rulemakings have greatly enhanced his ability to provide compliance counseling and represent clients in enforcement actions, and evaluate and critique rulemaking proposals and suggest alternative approaches. On behalf of one or more clients, Mr. Halprin has participated in almost every major OSHA rulemaking over the past 25 years as well as numerous Cal-OSHA rulemakings.



**Lawrence Halprin**

Partner

[halprin@khlaw.com](mailto:halprin@khlaw.com)

202-434-4177

# TOPICS TO BE DISCUSSED

- Background of OSHA's right to physically inspect an employer's premises
- Case summary of recent employer challenge to scope of OSHA inspection warrant
- OSHA's probable cause argument
- Case summaries of challenges to OSHA's attempts to have inspector accompanied by third parties
- What employers should do



# BACKGROUND OF OSHA'S RIGHT TO PHYSICALLY INSPECT AN EMPLOYER'S PREMISES

- A warrant authorizing an administrative search may be based on:
  - Specific evidence of a likely violation, or;
  - A reasonable legislative or administrative standard applied under a neutral administrative plan.



# OSHA REGIONAL EMPHASIS PROGRAM (REP) FOR POULTRY INDUSTRY

- Sixteen hazards allegedly common to poultry industry
- Expansion of all un-programmed inspections arising out of one REP hazard to a programmed inspection of all REP hazards
  - Unless there are significant resource implications limiting the area office's ability to do so
  - No guidance on “significant resources” or how to determine which and when expansion must occur



# OSHA'S ARGUMENTS

- REP is an appropriate neutral administrative plan because:
  - The selection process is uniformly applied to all poultry processing facilities, and
  - The history of hazardous incidents in the poultry industry provides a reasonable basis for believing violations are likely to be found at a given poultry processing site
- The decision not to inspect due to resource limitations does not detract from the fact that the selection process is neutral and uniform
- OSHA Form 300 supports reasonable suspicion of additional violations



# COURT'S HOLDING

- REP process requires Area Director to use his discretion to determine which unprogrammed inspections convert to programmed wall-to-wall or NEP inspections
- This taints the administrative neutrality of the plan
- Reasonable suspicion requirement not satisfied by OSHA evidence of industry-wide hazards
- OSHA 300 data *does not* support reasonable suspicion of additional violations



# OTHER CRITICAL CHALLENGES TO OSHA'S RIGHT TO PHYSICALLY ENTER AND INSPECT

## *NFIB v. Dougherty*

- Challenging the walk-around rule
- “Authorized” employee representative
- Specialist who is reasonably necessary
- Pending OSHA's motion to dismiss



# OTHER CRITICAL CHALLENGES TO OSHA'S RIGHT TO PHYSICALLY ENTER AND INSPECT

- Nissan North America
  - Objection: employees in Workers Organizing Committee (WOC) not authorized to represent employees
  - Settled this week (Nov 15)
  - 3 Employees of WOC will be added to plant safety committee, trained and permitted to accompany OSHA inspector



# WHAT EMPLOYERS SHOULD DO

1. Act at time of announcement to inspect
2. Work with Counsel to evaluate burden and fairness of inspection
3. File Motion to Quash where appropriate
4. Arguments are not only centered around 4<sup>th</sup> Amendment
  - OSHA's statutory right to inspect (walk around rule)
  - Other statutory limits (e.g., NLRA)
  - Neutral administrative plan

# **Next OSHA 30/30**

**Please join us**

**at 1:00 PM Eastern U.S.**

**Wednesday, December 7th, 2016**

**[www.khlaw.com/osha3030](http://www.khlaw.com/osha3030)**



# MORE FROM THE OSHA 30/30:



Catch our group on LinkedIn:  
[Keller and Heckman Workplace Safety and Health](#)



The OSHA 30/30 is now available  
as a Podcast!

Find it at:

- [Khlaw.com/osha3030](http://Khlaw.com/osha3030)
- Or on any podcast streaming service (iTunes, Podcast Addict)



# *Thank you!*

Please take a moment to fill out the survey on your screen.

Keller and Heckman LLP  
1001 G Street NW  
Suite 500 West  
Washington, DC 20001

(202) 434-4182  
rath@khlaw.com



**Lawrence Halprin**

Partner

halprin@khlaw.com

202-434-4177



**Manesh Rath**

Partner

rath@khlaw.com

202-434-4182





# OSHA 30/30<sup>®</sup>

A thirty minute update on OSHA law every thirty days

with **Manesh Rath**



## RECENT COURT CHALLENGES TO OSHA INSPECTION WARRANTS

November 16, 2016

1001 G Street NW, Ste. 500 W,  
Washington, D.C.



[www.khlaw.com](http://www.khlaw.com)

**Presented by:**

**Lawrence P. Halprin**

Partner

[halprin@khlaw.com](mailto:halprin@khlaw.com)


**Manesh Rath**

Partner

[rath@khlaw.com](mailto:rath@khlaw.com)

Copyright © 2016





# OSHA 30/30<sup>®</sup>

A thirty minute update on OSHA law every thirty days

**with Manesh Rath**

**Please Don't Forget to Dial-In:**

**Conferencing Number: (800) 768-2983**

**Access Code: 434 4318**

(View the slides via webinar, and the sound via phone, above)  
An audio recording and slide deck will be provided post-webinar on  
[www.khlaw.com/osha3030](http://www.khlaw.com/osha3030)

**KH**<sup>®</sup> **KELLER AND HECKMAN LLP**  
SERVING BUSINESS THROUGH LAW AND SCIENCE<sup>®</sup>

[www.khlaw.com](http://www.khlaw.com)

Copyright © 2016

# MANESH K. RATH

Manesh Rath is a partner in Keller and Heckman's litigation and OSHA practice groups. He has been the lead amicus counsel on several cases before the U.S. Supreme Court including *Staub v. Proctor Hospital* and *Vance v. Ball State University*.

Mr. Rath is a co-author of three books in the fields of wage/hour law, labor and employment law, and OSHA law. On developing legal issues, he has been quoted or interviewed in *The Wall Street Journal*, Bloomberg, *Smart Money* magazine, *Entrepreneur* magazine, on PBS's "Nightly Business Report," WAVY-TV and C-SPAN. He was listed in *Smart CEO Magazine's* Readers' Choice List of Legal Elite and voted by his peers to *Best Lawyers In America*, 2016 and 2017.

Mr. Rath has extensive experience representing industry in OSHA rulemakings. He has successfully represented employers—including some of the largest in the country—in OSHA citations and investigations before federal OSHA in regions across the country and in state plan states.

Mr. Rath currently serves on the Board of Advisors for the National Federation of Independent Business (NFIB) Small Business Legal Center. He served on the Society For Human Resources (SHRM) Special Expertise Panel for Safety and Health law for several years.

He is the editor and co-author of the OSHA chapter of the *Employment and Labor Law Audit* (9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> Editions) and a co-author of the book *Occupational Safety and Health Law Handbook* (2001).



**Manesh Rath**  
Partner  
rath@khlaw.com  
202-434-4182

# LAWRENCE P. HALPRIN

Lawrence Halprin is a partner in Keller and Heckman's workplace safety and health, chemical regulation and litigation practice groups. He is nationally recognized for his work in workplace safety and chemical regulation. His workplace safety and health practice covers all aspects of legal advocacy, including: representing clients in OSHA and MSHA investigations and enforcement actions; providing compliance counseling and training; conducting incident investigations, compliance audits and program reviews; participation in federal (OSHA, MSHA and NIOSH) and state rulemakings and stakeholders processes; bringing and intervening in pre-enforcement challenges to final agency rules; advising on legislative reform and oversight; and participation in the development of national consensus standards under the ANSI process, and TLVs under the ACGIH process.

Mr. Halprin's engineering and financial background and extensive knowledge of OSHA rulemakings have greatly enhanced his ability to provide compliance counseling and represent clients in enforcement actions, and evaluate and critique rulemaking proposals and suggest alternative approaches. On behalf of one or more clients, Mr. Halprin has participated in almost every major OSHA rulemaking over the past 25 years as well as numerous Cal-OSHA rulemakings.



**Lawrence Halprin**

Partner

[halprin@khlaw.com](mailto:halprin@khlaw.com)

202-434-4177

# TOPICS TO BE DISCUSSED

- Background of OSHA's right to physically inspect an employer's premises
- Case summary of recent employer challenge to scope of OSHA inspection warrant
- OSHA's probable cause argument
- Case summaries of challenges to OSHA's attempts to have inspector accompanied by third parties
- What employers should do



# BACKGROUND OF OSHA'S RIGHT TO PHYSICALLY INSPECT AN EMPLOYER'S PREMISES

- A warrant authorizing an administrative search may be based on:
  - Specific evidence of a likely violation, or;
  - A reasonable legislative or administrative standard applied under a neutral administrative plan.



# OSHA REGIONAL EMPHASIS PROGRAM (REP) FOR POULTRY INDUSTRY

- Sixteen hazards allegedly common to poultry industry
- Expansion of all un-programmed inspections arising out of one REP hazard to a programmed inspection of all REP hazards
  - Unless there are significant resource implications limiting the area office's ability to do so
  - No guidance on “significant resources” or how to determine which and when expansion must occur



# OSHA'S ARGUMENTS

- REP is an appropriate neutral administrative plan because:
  - The selection process is uniformly applied to all poultry processing facilities, and
  - The history of hazardous incidents in the poultry industry provides a reasonable basis for believing violations are likely to be found at a given poultry processing site
- The decision not to inspect due to resource limitations does not detract from the fact that the selection process is neutral and uniform
- OSHA Form 300 supports reasonable suspicion of additional violations

# COURT'S HOLDING

- REP process requires Area Director to use his discretion to determine which unprogrammed inspections convert to programmed wall-to-wall or NEP inspections
- This taints the administrative neutrality of the plan
- Reasonable suspicion requirement not satisfied by OSHA evidence of industry-wide hazards
- OSHA 300 data *does not* support reasonable suspicion of additional violations





# OTHER CRITICAL CHALLENGES TO OSHA'S RIGHT TO PHYSICALLY ENTER AND INSPECT

## *NFIB v. Dougherty*

- Challenging the walk-around rule
- “Authorized” employee representative
- Specialist who is reasonably necessary
- Pending OSHA's motion to dismiss



# OTHER CRITICAL CHALLENGES TO OSHA'S RIGHT TO PHYSICALLY ENTER AND INSPECT

- Nissan North America
  - Objection: employees in Workers Organizing Committee (WOC) not authorized to represent employees
  - Settled this week (Nov 15)
  - 3 Employees of WOC will be added to plant safety committee, trained and permitted to accompany OSHA inspector



# WHAT EMPLOYERS SHOULD DO

1. Act at time of announcement to inspect
2. Work with Counsel to evaluate burden and fairness of inspection
3. File Motion to Quash where appropriate
4. Arguments are not only centered around 4<sup>th</sup> Amendment
  - OSHA's statutory right to inspect (walk around rule)
  - Other statutory limits (e.g., NLRA)
  - Neutral administrative plan

# **Next OSHA 30/30**

**Please join us**

**at 1:00 PM Eastern U.S.**

**Wednesday, December 7th, 2016**

**[www.khlaw.com/osha3030](http://www.khlaw.com/osha3030)**



# MORE FROM THE OSHA 30/30:



Catch our group on LinkedIn:  
[Keller and Heckman Workplace Safety and Health](#)



The OSHA 30/30 is now available  
as a Podcast!

Find it at:

- [Khlaw.com/osha3030](http://Khlaw.com/osha3030)
- Or on any podcast streaming service (iTunes, Podcast Addict)



# *Thank you!*

Please take a moment to fill out the survey on your screen.

Keller and Heckman LLP  
1001 G Street NW  
Suite 500 West  
Washington, DC 20001

(202) 434-4182  
rath@khlaw.com



**Lawrence Halprin**

Partner

halprin@khlaw.com

202-434-4177



**Manesh Rath**

Partner

rath@khlaw.com

202-434-4182





# OSHA 30/30<sup>®</sup>

A thirty minute update on OSHA law every thirty days

with **Manesh Rath**



## RECENT COURT CHALLENGES TO OSHA INSPECTION WARRANTS

November 16, 2016

1001 G Street NW, Ste. 500 W,  
Washington, D.C.



[www.khlaw.com](http://www.khlaw.com)

**Presented by:**

**Lawrence P. Halprin**

Partner


[halprin@khlaw.com](mailto:halprin@khlaw.com)

**Manesh Rath**

Partner

[rath@khlaw.com](mailto:rath@khlaw.com)

Copyright © 2016



# OSHA 30/30<sup>®</sup>

A thirty minute update on OSHA law every thirty days

**with Manesh Rath**

**Please Don't Forget to Dial-In:**

**Conferencing Number: (800) 768-2983**

**Access Code: 434 4318**

(View the slides via webinar, and the sound via phone, above)  
An audio recording and slide deck will be provided post-webinar on  
[www.khlaw.com/osha3030](http://www.khlaw.com/osha3030)



[www.khlaw.com](http://www.khlaw.com)

Copyright © 2016



# MANESH K. RATH

Manesh Rath is a partner in Keller and Heckman's litigation and OSHA practice groups. He has been the lead amicus counsel on several cases before the U.S. Supreme Court including *Staub v. Proctor Hospital* and *Vance v. Ball State University*.

Mr. Rath is a co-author of three books in the fields of wage/hour law, labor and employment law, and OSHA law. On developing legal issues, he has been quoted or interviewed in *The Wall Street Journal*, Bloomberg, *Smart Money* magazine, *Entrepreneur* magazine, on PBS's "Nightly Business Report," WAVY-TV and C-SPAN. He was listed in *Smart CEO Magazine's* Readers' Choice List of Legal Elite and voted by his peers to *Best Lawyers In America*, 2016 and 2017.

Mr. Rath has extensive experience representing industry in OSHA rulemakings. He has successfully represented employers—including some of the largest in the country—in OSHA citations and investigations before federal OSHA in regions across the country and in state plan states.

Mr. Rath currently serves on the Board of Advisors for the National Federation of Independent Business (NFIB) Small Business Legal Center. He served on the Society For Human Resources (SHRM) Special Expertise Panel for Safety and Health law for several years.

He is the editor and co-author of the OSHA chapter of the *Employment and Labor Law Audit* (9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> Editions) and a co-author of the book *Occupational Safety and Health Law Handbook* (2001).



**Manesh Rath**

Partner

rath@khlaw.com

202-434-4182

# LAWRENCE P. HALPRIN

Lawrence Halprin is a partner in Keller and Heckman's workplace safety and health, chemical regulation and litigation practice groups. He is nationally recognized for his work in workplace safety and chemical regulation. His workplace safety and health practice covers all aspects of legal advocacy, including: representing clients in OSHA and MSHA investigations and enforcement actions; providing compliance counseling and training; conducting incident investigations, compliance audits and program reviews; participation in federal (OSHA, MSHA and NIOSH) and state rulemakings and stakeholders processes; bringing and intervening in pre-enforcement challenges to final agency rules; advising on legislative reform and oversight; and participation in the development of national consensus standards under the ANSI process, and TLVs under the ACGIH process.

Mr. Halprin's engineering and financial background and extensive knowledge of OSHA rulemakings have greatly enhanced his ability to provide compliance counseling and represent clients in enforcement actions, and evaluate and critique rulemaking proposals and suggest alternative approaches. On behalf of one or more clients, Mr. Halprin has participated in almost every major OSHA rulemaking over the past 25 years as well as numerous Cal-OSHA rulemakings.



**Lawrence Halprin**

Partner

[halprin@khlaw.com](mailto:halprin@khlaw.com)

202-434-4177


# TOPICS TO BE DISCUSSED

- Background of OSHA's right to physically inspect an employer's premises
- Case summary of recent employer challenge to scope of OSHA inspection warrant
- OSHA's probable cause argument
- Case summaries of challenges to OSHA's attempts to have inspector accompanied by third parties
- What employers should do



# BACKGROUND OF OSHA'S RIGHT TO PHYSICALLY INSPECT AN EMPLOYER'S PREMISES

- A warrant authorizing an administrative search may be based on:
  - Specific evidence of a likely violation, or;
  - A reasonable legislative or administrative standard applied under a neutral administrative plan.



# OSHA REGIONAL EMPHASIS PROGRAM (REP) FOR POULTRY INDUSTRY

- Sixteen hazards allegedly common to poultry industry
- Expansion of all un-programmed inspections arising out of one REP hazard to a programmed inspection of all REP hazards
  - Unless there are significant resource implications limiting the area office's ability to do so
  - No guidance on “significant resources” or how to determine which and when expansion must occur



# OSHA'S ARGUMENTS

- REP is an appropriate neutral administrative plan because:
  - The selection process is uniformly applied to all poultry processing facilities, and
  - The history of hazardous incidents in the poultry industry provides a reasonable basis for believing violations are likely to be found at a given poultry processing site
- The decision not to inspect due to resource limitations does not detract from the fact that the selection process is neutral and uniform
- OSHA Form 300 supports reasonable suspicion of additional violations

# COURT'S HOLDING

- REP process requires Area Director to use his discretion to determine which unprogrammed inspections convert to programmed wall-to-wall or NEP inspections
- This taints the administrative neutrality of the plan
- Reasonable suspicion requirement not satisfied by OSHA evidence of industry-wide hazards
- OSHA 300 data *does not* support reasonable suspicion of additional violations



# OTHER CRITICAL CHALLENGES TO OSHA'S RIGHT TO PHYSICALLY ENTER AND INSPECT

## *NFIB v. Dougherty*

- Challenging the walk-around rule
- “Authorized” employee representative
- Specialist who is reasonably necessary
- Pending OSHA's motion to dismiss





# OTHER CRITICAL CHALLENGES TO OSHA'S RIGHT TO PHYSICALLY ENTER AND INSPECT

- Nissan North America
  - Objection: employees in Workers Organizing Committee (WOC) not authorized to represent employees
  - Settled this week (Nov 15)
  - 3 Employees of WOC will be added to plant safety committee, trained and permitted to accompany OSHA inspector



# WHAT EMPLOYERS SHOULD DO

1. Act at time of announcement to inspect
2. Work with Counsel to evaluate burden and fairness of inspection
3. File Motion to Quash where appropriate
4. Arguments are not only centered around 4<sup>th</sup> Amendment
  - OSHA's statutory right to inspect (walk around rule)
  - Other statutory limits (e.g., NLRA)
  - Neutral administrative plan

## **Next OSHA 30/30**

**Please join us**

**at 1:00 PM Eastern U.S.**

**Wednesday, December 7th, 2016**

**[www.khlaw.com/osha3030](http://www.khlaw.com/osha3030)**



# MORE FROM THE OSHA 30/30:



Catch our group on LinkedIn:  
[Keller and Heckman Workplace Safety and Health](#)



The OSHA 30/30 is now available  
as a Podcast!

Find it at:

- [Khlaw.com/osha3030](http://Khlaw.com/osha3030)
- Or on any podcast streaming service (iTunes, Podcast Addict)



# *Thank you!*

Please take a moment to fill out the survey on your screen.

Keller and Heckman LLP  
1001 G Street NW  
Suite 500 West  
Washington, DC 20001

(202) 434-4182  
rath@khlaw.com



**Lawrence Halprin**

Partner

halprin@khlaw.com

202-434-4177



**Manesh Rath**

Partner

rath@khlaw.com

202-434-4182

